

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,177	09/23/2003	Ba-Zhong Shen	BP3036.1	4091
51472	7590 05/10/2006		EXAMINER	
GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON LLP			DILDINE JR, R STEPHEN	
P.O. BOX 16	* • = •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, 17	X 78716-0727		2133	
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/669,177	SHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
Office Action Cummery	R. Stephen Dildine	2133	
The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	dress
eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N - Extensions of time may be available under the provision: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) fil 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the prace	FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, intatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (by will, by statute, cause the application to becafter the mailing date of this communication, after the mailing date of this communication.	E 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30 IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any	D) DAYS,
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	are withdrawn from consideration		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	ıminer.
Applicant may not request that any observed Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the control of	ojection to the drawing(s) be held in ing the correction is required if the c	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).
3 Copies of the certified copies	f: rity documents have been receiv rity documents have been receiv es of the priority documents hav ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa)).	al Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) ⊠ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 22 July 2005.	PW (PTO-948) 5) \(\subseteq 1 \)	nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Patent Application (F other:	PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/669,177

Art Unit: 2133

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-31 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 8 of copending Application No. 10/668526. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. Claim 8 of applicants' copending application No. 10/668526 is so broad that it covers all LDPC decoders that have been or ever will be invented, including that set forth in all the claims of this application.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Eroz et al. (2004/0086059) is cited to show, in step 1011 of Fig. 10 e.g., the inclusion of symbol matrices in iterative LDPC decoding and Cameron et al. (2005/0246606) teaches edge message updating.

Art Unit: 2133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. Stephen Dildine whose telephone number is (571) 272-3820. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Stephen Dildine Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133

R. Stephen Dildine

herDeldine